

The Odisha Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 835 CUTTACK, WEDNESDAY, MAY 27, 2015/JAISTHA 6, 1937

LABOUR & E.S.I. DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 13th May 2015

No. 4239—li-1-(SS)-16-2008-LESI.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 12th January 2015 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 27 of 2008 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela to whom the industrial dispute between the management of the Executive Director (P & A), Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela and its workman Shri Rashmikanta Nayak represented through General Secretary, Rourkela Workers Union, Daily Market, Rourkela was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ROURKELA

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 27/2008

The 12th January 2015

Present :

Smt. V.Jayashree, O.S.J.S. (Sr. Branch)
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela.

Between :

The Executive Director (P & A) . . . First Party Management
Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela,

And

Shri Rashmikanta Nayak, represented . . . Second Party Workman
Through General Secretary, Rourkela
Workers' Union, Daily Market, Rourkela.

Appearances :

For the First Party Management	. . .	None
For the Second Party Workman	. . .	None

AWARD

The Government of Odisha in Labour & Employment Department in exercise of their power conferred under sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with Clause (d) sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act have referred the following dispute vide Order No. 9119—li-1(SS)-16/2008-LE., dated 26th August 2008 for adjudication :

“Whether the action of the management of Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela in reducing the basic pay of Shri Rashmikanta Nayak, Senior Operator, Blast Furnace (Opn.), PL No. 884492 of Blast Furnace (O) Department from Rs. 3,756 to Rs. 3,550 with effect from the 30th November 1996 is legal or justified ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?”

2. On notices being sent the management appeared but neither the workman appeared nor sent any information. From this, it can be presumed that either they have settled their dispute outside the court in the mean time or they have no interest to conduct the case. Hence no dispute award is passed.

Dictated and corrected by me.

Smt. V. JAYASHREE
12-1-2015
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela

Smt. V. JAYASHREE
12-1-2015
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela

By order of the Governor
M. NAYAK
Under-Secretary to Government